



RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE AGENDA
RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2003

- CALL TO ORDER
- ANNOUNCEMENT RE: COMPLIANCE WITH OPEN MEETING LAW

MINUTES:

PRESENT: COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and MACK

Also Present: DEPUTY CITY MANAGER STEVE HOUCHENS, CHIEF DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY VAL STEED, CITY CLERK BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS, and DEPUTY CITY CLERK GABRIELA S. PORTILLO-BRENNER

ANNOUNCEMENT MADE – meeting noticed and posted at the following locations:
Las Vegas Library, 833 Las Vegas Boulevard North
Senior Citizens Center, 450 E. Bonanza Road
Clark County Government Center, 500 S. Grand Central Pkwy
Court Clerk's Bulletin Board, City Hall
City Hall Plaza, Posting Board

(4:05)

1-1

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2003-8 - Interim warrant ordinance providing for the issuance of a General Obligation Interim Warrant for Special Improvement District #1481 not to exceed \$3,900,000 - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$3,933,750

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works - S.I.D.

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: S.I.D. assessments

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This ordinance authorizes and directs the issuance of a general obligation interim warrant for the payment of the costs and expenses within Special Improvement District #1481. This obligation is expected to be refinanced through the issuance of General Obligation Bonds in or about September 2003. The Interim Warrant (as well as any later bond refinancings) is a general obligation of the City, though property assessments are expected to provide the funding necessary for all debt service requirements.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2003-8

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION:

COUNCILMAN MACK recommended Bill 2003-8 be forwarded to the Full Council with a "Do Pass" recommendation. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY concurred.

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY declared the Public Hearing open.

CHIEF DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY VAL STEED advised that the item was in order.

No one appeared in opposition and there was no further discussion.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY declared the Public Hearing closed.

(4:06)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2003-1 – Requires a separation of one thousand feet between properties where alcoholic beverages are being consumed or possessed in open containers and properties where religious, school, hospital, drug treatment or shelter services are being offered. Proposed by: Mark Vincent, Director of Finance and Business Services

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This bill prohibits persons from drinking from or possessing open containers of alcoholic beverages that were originally purchased in sealed or corked containers, if these acts take place on property within one thousand feet of a church, synagogue, public or private school, hospital, drug treatment center or homeless shelter. These restrictions do not apply to consumption or possession of open containers of alcoholic beverages on residential property or on property for which the City has issued a special event license for that purpose.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2003-1

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION:

COUNCILMAN MACK recommended Bill 2003-1 be forwarded to the Full Council as a First Amendment with a “Do Pass” recommendation. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY concurred.

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY declared the Public Hearing open.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager of Business Services, explained that the bill is being proposed in response to concerns raised by the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department (Metro) as to the consumption of alcohol within 1,000 feet of the listed facilities. The City currently has a prohibition of alcohol consumption within 1,000 feet from any on/off sales location, including convenience stores. He introduced SERGEANT ERIC FRICKER to give an overview of Metro’s concerns.

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2003

City Attorney

Item 2 – Bill No. 2003-1

MINUTES – Continued:

SERGEANT FRICKER explained that this was a specific recommendation received from a church on the Westside where the congregation was encountering inebriated or drinking individuals daily, especially on Sundays, on and around the church property. In looking into this suggestion, Metro has also dealt with shelters, treatment facilities, hospitals and schools. School children complain about their daily involvement with the chronic inebriants on the way to and from school. He referenced a map to demonstrate the calls for service, the impact on Metro and the financial responsibility caused by this service.

TOM McGOWAN, Las Vegas resident, complimented the fine officers at Metro and MR. DiFIORE. He questioned the number of the congregation who drink, whether or not the distance requirement is violated by convenience store patrons at such location as Fremont and Fifth and the consequences and whether the parents of the school children complaining drink. His recommendation is to address the entire picture and not just pieces. Certainly that is what he will do when he becomes the Mayor of Las Vegas.

MR. DiFIORE pointed out that this bill would not preclude any special event through a liquor caterer as permitted by licensing by the City. He also recommended that the language “drug treatment center” be replaced by “a special care facility or a withdrawal management facility.” These are the terms defined in the zoning code.

No one appeared in opposition and there was no further discussion.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY declared the Public Hearing closed.

(4:06 – 4:11)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2003**

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2003-2 – Allows the use “Astrologer, Hypnotist, or Psychic Art and Science” as a permitted use in the C-1, C-2, C-M and M Zoning Districts. Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This bill will allow the use “Astrologer, Hypnotist, or Psychic Art and Science” as a permitted use in the C-1, C-2, C-M and M Zoning Districts. It has been determined that the impact of the use will be no greater than similar uses permitted in those districts.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2003-2

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION:

COUNCILMAN MACK recommended Bill 2003-2 be forwarded to the Full Council with a “Do Pass” recommendation. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY concurred.

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY declared the Public Hearing open.

ROBERT GENZER, Director of Planning & Development, indicated that these uses require two processes, a special use permit and licensing. This would appear to be duplicative and staff proposes to eliminate the special use permit in these four categories. As a permitted use within these zoning categories, applicants would still be required to go through the licensing process. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY confirmed with MR. GENZER that the applicant for a Neonopolis kiosk would no longer require a special use permit, only licensing, which is also heard by the City Council at a public hearing.

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2003

City Attorney

Item 3 – Bill No. 2003-2

MINUTES – Continued:

TOM McGOWAN, Las Vegas resident, confirmed with MR. GENZER that the bill would apply to any commercial zoning districts, including those in the Downtown Entertainment District. He recommended passage of the bill.

No one appeared in opposition and there was no further discussion.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY declared the Public Hearing closed.

(4:11 – 4:13)

1-170

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2003-3 – Allows mixed-use development in the Downtown Redevelopment Area by means of special use permit. Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City's zoning regulations currently do not allow mixed-use development to the extent necessary to encourage appropriate redevelopment downtown. This bill will allow such development to occur in the Downtown Redevelopment Area by means of special use permit.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2003-3

Submitted at meeting: Downtown Planning Districts map

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION:

COUNCILMAN MACK recommended Bill 2003-3 be forwarded to the Full Council with a "Do Pass" recommendation. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY concurred.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that he has a contract through MK² Advertising for consulting services, doing business with the Horseshoe, and that his brother, STEVEN MACK, does business in the Downtown Redevelopment Area.

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY declared the Public Hearing open.

MARGO WHEELER, Manager of Planning & Development, stated that this is a clarification and expansion of existing language within the Code which uses the term "multi-use" and allows only the addition of residential to a commercial use on a succeeding floor. The existing Code language is

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2003

City Attorney

Item 4 – Bill No. 2003-3

MINUTES – Continued:

really for new construction built with commercial on the ground floor and residential above that. The new proposal is to allow, within the Downtown Redevelopment Area, that residential uses may be permitted on the same site as commercial uses with a special use permit. It would allow for what is currently not permitted, the conversion of the front portion of a home to a small office use while retaining residential uses in the rear. It would allow for true mixed use within the Downtown Redevelopment Area, subject to appropriate design standards.

TOM McGOWAN, Las Vegas resident, confirmed with MS. WHEELER that the new bill would permit mixed use on the same floor and would apply to the Downtown Entertainment District.

COUNCILMAN MACK stressed that this is consistent with the Council's intent and goals for the Downtown Redevelopment Area.

No one appeared in opposition and there was no further discussion.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY declared the Public Hearing closed.

(4:13 – 4:16)

1-233

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2003-4 – Establishes standards for outdoor dining in the Downtown Overlay District.
Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Outdoor dining is encouraged within the Downtown Overlay District. However, neither the City's zoning regulations nor the regulations governing the use of sidewalk areas currently allow outdoor dining in those areas. This bill will allow, and establish standards for, such outdoor dining within the Downtown Overlay District.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2003-4

Submitted at meeting: Downtown Planning Districts map

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION:

COUNCILMAN MACK recommended Bill 2003-4 be forwarded to the Full Council with a "Do Pass" recommendation. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY concurred.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that he has a contract through MK² Advertising for consulting services, doing business with the Horseshoe, and that his brother, STEVEN MACK, does business in the Downtown Redevelopment Area.

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY declared the Public Hearing open.

ROBERT GENZER, Director of Planning & Development, submitted a map to demonstrate the area impacted. The City is receiving more and more requests for outdoor dining, but the existing Code does not include any provisions for such. Standards needed to be created for the request. This bill

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2003

City Attorney

Item 5 – Bill No. 2003-4

MINUTES – Continued:

includes encroachment up to two-thirds of the public sidewalk, with a minimum pedestrian clearance of six feet for sidewalks fifteen feet wide or less and eight feet for sidewalks fifteen feet wide or more, subject to approval by the Public Works Department. The dining area can be fenced by portable means only, with a preferred method of designation with planters on rollers that can be removed from the sidewalk when the operation is not open. Any fencing cannot exceed three feet in height. Permanent roofing of the dining area will not be permitted, but retractable awnings and umbrellas are permitted. The public sidewalk cannot be punctured, damaged and must be kept free and clear of furniture or storage after business hours. The applicant shall maintain a clean area up to twenty feet beyond the dining area. All outdoor furniture design shall be compatible with the business design theme and shall not support any advertisement or signs. Live entertainment and alcohol beverage service are permitted only in conjunction with dining service.

Any request for outdoor dining will go to an approval committee consisting of the Planning Director or designee, Public Works Director or designee and the Director of the Office of Building & Safety or designee. Outdoor dining locations will be subject to ongoing and complaint-based inspections. Approval of outdoor dining may be revoked at any time for noncompliance with conditions of approval and noncompliance may form the basis of non-renewal or relocation of a business license. These proposed controls make this feasible and will enhance the livability and usefulness of the Downtown Area.

TOM McGOWAN, Las Vegas resident, raised three questions: 1) whether this would apply to the Downtown Entertainment District; 2) whether the map presented would be accessible to the public; and 3) who and how will a conflict between live entertainments be determined and resolved. MR. GENZER responded that it would apply and provided a copy of the map to clarify the area involved. The conflict does not include the Fremont Street Experience, because it is covered by a separate ordinance. As for any other conflict, MR. GENZER was uncertain as to MR. McGOWAN'S intent. MR. McGOWAN recommended additional homework on the conflict issue. Finally, he questioned how the Saloon at Neonopolis operates with outdoor dining without this bill being in place. MR. GENZER explained that it is exempted as a part of the Fremont Street Experience and covered under the separate ordinance, which is bounded by Las Vegas Boulevard.

COUNCILMAN MACK stressed that this is consistent with the Council's intent and goals for the Downtown Redevelopment area, including the Fremont Street Experience area.

No one appeared in opposition and there was no further discussion.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY declared the Public Hearing closed.

(4:16 – 4:22)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2003-5 – Establishes a process for obtaining a waiver of certain fees related to parade permits. Proposed by: Doug Selby, City Manager

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The Municipal Code currently requires the holder of a parade permit to reimburse the City for the costs it incurs in connection with the permit and the associated parade. Occasionally the City is asked to waive the requirement to pay some or all of these costs. This bill will formalize the process by which the City Council or City Manager (depending on the amount) may grant a waiver.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2003-5

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION:

COUNCILMAN MACK recommended Bill 2003-5 be forwarded to the Full Council with a "Do Pass" recommendation. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY concurred.

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY declared the Public Hearing open.

CHIEF DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY VAL STEED advised that the Council is often asked to waive certain costs incurred by the City as part of the permit application and parade cleanup. It has been handled on an ad-hoc basis in the past and this bill will establish the process for appearing before the Council and allowing the City Manager to grant a waiver for up to \$7,500 per parade or \$37,500 for all parades during one fiscal year.

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2003

City Attorney

Item 6 – Bill No. 2003-5

MINUTES – Continued:

TOM McGOWAN confirmed with CHIEF DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY STEED that the bill would apply to the Downtown Entertainment District without limitation or exception of any kind.

No one appeared in opposition and there was no further discussion.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY declared the Public Hearing closed.

(4:22 – 4:23)

1-528

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2003-6 – Annexation No. A-0035-02 – Property location: Bounded by Grand Teton Drive to the north, Puli Road to the west, Hualapai Way to the east, and Centennial Parkway and the I-215 Beltway to the south; Petitioned by: Southwest Desert Equities, LLC, et al.; Acreage: 1,056.84 acres; Zoned: R-U (County zoning), U (PCD) (City equivalent). Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally bounded by Grand Teton Drive to the north, Puli Road to the west, Hualapai Way to the east, and Centennial Parkway and the I-215 Beltway to the south. The annexation is at the request of various property owners, and includes a number of parcels not requested for annexation. Once the statutory process has been followed, this ordinance will establish the effective date of annexation (February 14, 2003).

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2003-6 and Location Map

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION:

COUNCILMAN MACK recommended Bill 2003-6 be forwarded to the Full Council with a “Do Pass” recommendation. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY concurred.

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY declared the Public Hearing open.

CHIEF DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY VAL STEED explained that this is the long-form annexation recently noticed as a resolution of intent. Subsequent to the public hearing, this is the ordinance to adopt that annexation.

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2003

City Attorney

Item 7 – Bill No. 2003-6

MINUTES – Continued:

TOM McGOWAN, Las Vegas resident, asked how the valid equity interests of parties pertinent to this matter are protected. He then pointed out that there was no response to the question.

COUNCILMAN MACK commented that the City welcomes this large piece of land and looks forward to future development. He confirmed with SEAN ROBERTSON, Planning and Development, that about 75% of this land will be sold at a future Bureau Land Management sale scheduled to commence in the spring, followed by development.

No one appeared in opposition and there was no further discussion.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY declared the Public Hearing closed.

(4:23 – 4:25)

1-567

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2003

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2003-7 – Annexation No. A-0038-02(A) – Property location: Various locations, generally in the north and west areas of the City; Petitioned by: City of Las Vegas; Acreage: 675.6 acres; Zoned: Various zoning designations. Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance will annex certain parcels pursuant to AB 179 of the 2001 Legislative Session. As undeveloped parcels largely surrounded by property located within the limits of the City, the parcels are eligible for annexation by the City. The effective date of annexation (February 14, 2003) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2003-7 and Location Map

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION:

COUNCILMAN MACK recommended Bill 2003-7 be forwarded to the Full Council with a “Do Pass” recommendation. **COUNCILMAN WEEKLY** concurred.

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY declared the Public Hearing open.

CHIEF DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY VAL STEED stated that this annexation involves the County islands, pursuant to a bill passed in the last legislative session. The City made a commitment to have a public hearing which was held several meetings ago. One property owner requested that his parcel be omitted and the balance of the parcels appear in this bill.

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2003

City Attorney

Item 8 – Bill No. 2003-7

MINUTES – Continued:

CHARLES P. WATSON, 10321 Eagle Vale Avenue, appeared as the owner of five acres at Ann Road and Rebecca. His property has been County since 1967 and he purchased it in 1973. The last few years, under the Lone Mountain Preservation process, it was to be zoned for two-units per acre. He fought that zoning and obtained an exemption. His representative, CHIP MAXFIELD, worked with COUNCILMEN MACK and BROWN to facilitate zoning for both the County and City. While he agrees with that effort, he does not agree with a stipulation restricting his property to three-units per acre. The City hired an appraiser who recommended the best use as being commercial. East of the parcel are schools, high-density housing. To the west is a Walgreens and shopping and car centers with C-1 and C-2 zoning. He has now been served with a \$22,000 bill for curbs, lights and sidewalks. This is alleged to be progress. Yet at the same time he is told that he purchased rural and is going to be kept as rural.

A developer brought forward a plan for single-story senior housing on his parcel which was approved by the County. At the City, sewer connection for the project was rejected. This cost the City and County senior housing compatible with the area. He is not seeking commercial, which he agrees should take place first in Town Center.

TOM McGOWAN, Las Vegas resident, pointed out that the previous speaker did not receive a response to his question. Apparently the statute does not require fair and equitable dealing, but it does not prohibit it either. Responsible elected officials should make a formal determination in that regard. It is this gentleman's equity that is at risk today, but it could be many more in the future and the elected officials are accountable for that. He complimented the well-behaved children attending the meeting. They provide for the future.

COUNCILMAN MACK replied that MR. WATSON is familiar with his position as a result of several meetings regarding non-conforming land uses outside the Town Center and Centennial Hills plan. The master seamless plan was developed by the City and County. It is unfortunate for certain properties along Ann Road which may eventually have land use changes, but not for the next several years. The City has to protect the investments of those in the Town Center and the infrastructure taking place. Today's action is pursuant to AB-179 passed at the last legislative session.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY confirmed with COUNCILMAN MACK that the \$22,000 bill was for the Ann Road Special Improvement District. MR. WATSON rebutted that the proposed senior housing project was compatible with the Walgreens within eyesight of this property. COUNCILMAN MACK countered that the Walgreens was approved despite opposition by the City. That approval was part of the reason the entire interlocal agreement was reached between the City and County to prevent spot zonings. Just because it occurred once does not mean the City should continue to wildfire. MR. WATSON cited numerous other solid C-1 and C-2 zoning along Ann

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF JANUARY 21, 2003

City Attorney

Item 8 – Bill No. 2003-7

MINUTES – Continued:

Road. The Albertson's shopping center a quarter of a mile away was approved by the City on land designated for low rural. This is not spot zoning. COUNCILMAN MACK noted that the pattern ends east of Rainbow and that this property is located east of Rainbow. ROBERT GENZER, Director of Planning and Development, added that in determining uses within the Centennial Hills or Northwest area of the City, Council direction has been for no additional commercial outside of Town Center. Staff has been attempting to follow that direction. MR. WATSON stated that housing is not commercial.

No one appeared in opposition and there was no further discussion.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY declared the Public Hearing closed.

(4:25 – 4:36)

1-631

**RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE AGENDA
RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: JANUARY 21, 2003**

CITIZENS PARTICIPATION: ITEMS RAISED UNDER THIS PORTION OF THE AGENDA CANNOT BE DELIBERATED OR ACTED UPON UNTIL THE NOTICE PROVISIONS OF THE OPEN MEETING LAW HAVE BEEN MET. IF YOU WISH TO SPEAK ON A MATTER NOT LISTED ON THE AGENDA, PLEASE CLEARLY STATE YOUR NAME AND ADDRESS. IN CONSIDERATION OF OTHERS, AVOID REPETITION, AND LIMIT YOUR COMMENTS TO NO MORE THAN THREE (3) MINUTES. TO ENSURE ALL PERSONS EQUAL OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK, EACH SUBJECT MATTER WILL BE LIMITED TO TEN (10) MINUTES.

MINUTES:

TOM McGOWAN, Las Vegas resident, commended all members of the City Council for the excellent work they do. It is their best effort and he accepts it as such. There will be broad based fundamental reform upon his election as Mayor of the City of Las Vegas, starting with the City Council, cascading through the entire departmental structure without exception, so that the City will become a government of, by and for the people with a coherent, comprehensively integralized master plan which is fully disclosed. There will be no hidden agendas of any kind any where in the City.

(4:36 – 4:37)

1-984

THE MEETING ADJOURNED AT 4:37 P.M.

Respectfully submitted: _____
GABRIELA S. PORTILLO-BRENNER, DEPUTY CITY CLERK
March 6, 2003